REFERENCES

1. Lloyd RS. Introduction to modern liquid chromatography, 3rd Ed, John Wiley and Sons, London. ISBN: 978-0-470-16754-0, Page No- 9-13 (1997)

2. Dong. M. Modern HPLC for practicing scientists, Wiley-Interscience; 1st edition. ISBN-13: 978-0471727897 (2006)

3. Settle. FA. Handbook of instrumental techniques for analytical chemistry, National Science Foundation, Arlington, Virginia. Prentice Hall, 1024. ISBN-13: 978-0131773387 Page No- 16-166 (1997)

4. Rouessac F, Chemical analysis: Modern instrumentation methods and techniques, 4 th ed. Chichester: John Wiley & sons Ltd, ISBN: 978-0-470-85903-2 (2000)

5. Jeffery GH Vogels Text book of quantitative chemical analysis, 5th edition. 217-235. ISBN-13: 978-0582446939 (1991)

6. Lough WJ, HPLC Fundamental principles and practices, Blackie Academic and professional. ISBN 0- 7514- 00769, Page No-52-67 (1991)

7. A. H. Beckett, J. B. Stenlake. Practical Pharmaceutical Chemistry: Part II Fourth Edition. ISBN 0-485-11323-8 (1998)

8. Ahuja, Rasmussen . HPLC method development for pharmaceuticals, 1st Edition. Academic Press. ISBN-978-12-370540-2 (2007)

9. Satinder Ahuja,Handbook of Modern Pharmaceutical Analysis. Stephen Scypinski Academic Press, ISBN 978-0-12-3756 (2001)

10. Corradini. D. Handbook of HPLC, Second Edition. 2010, CRC Press Validation of analytical procedure: Methodology, ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline. Page-1-8 (1996)

11. Analytical procedures and methods validation chemistry, manufacturing, and controls documentation, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, (2000).

12. Guidelines for submitting samples and analytical data for methods validation. Food and Drug Administration Center for Drugs and Biologics, Rockville, Maryland. (1987)

13. Currie, L. A. Limits for qualitative detection and quantitative determination. Anal. Chem. ISSN: 1608-3199, Vol-40, Page No- 586-593 (1968)

14. Glajch.JL, Kirkland.JJ. Optimization of selectivity in liquid Chromatography. Anal. Chem. ISSN: 1608-3199, Vol-55, Issue-2, Page No- 319A–336A (1983)

15. Mulholland. M, Waterhouse. J. Development and evaluation of an automated procedure for the ruggedness testing of chromatographic conditions in high-

performance liquid chromatography. J. Chromatogr A. ISSN: 0021-967, Vol-395 , Page No -:539-551(1987)

16. Keller. H, Passing. H. Performance profiles: New tools for characterization and comparison of clinical chemical results. J. Clin. Chem. Clin, Biochem. ISSN0340-076X, Vol-27, Page No- 613-629 (1989)

17. Wolters. R, Kateman.G. Criterion for quantifying the reliability of analytical results in method development and evaluation. Analytica Chimica Acta. ISSN : 0003-2670 207, Page No- 111–123 (1988)

18. Mehta. AC. The validation criteria for analytical methods used in pharmacy practice research. ISSN 1365-2710, J. Clin Pharm Ther. Vol-14(6), Page-465-73 (1989)

19. Fraser. CG, Petersen. HP, Larsen. ML. Setting analytical goals for random analytical error in specific clinical monitoring situations. Clin. Chem. ISSN 0009-9147, Vol-36, Page No-1625-1628 (1990)

20. Gautschi. K, Keller. B, Keller. H et al. A new Look at the Limits of Detection, Quantification and Power of Definition. Eur. J. Clin. Chem. Clin. Biochem. ISSBN 0939-4974, Vol-31, Page No- 433-440(1993)

21. Arinbruster. DA, Tillman. MD, Hubbs. LM. Limit of Detection /Limit of Quantitation: Comparison of the Empirical and the Statistical Methods Exemplified with GC-MS Assays of Abused Drugs. Clin chem. ISSN 0009-9147,Vol-40, issue-7, Page No- 1233(1994)

22. Heyden. YV, Luypaert. K, Hartmann. C et al. Ruggedness tests on the HPLC assay of the United States Pharmacopeia XXII for tetracycline hydrochloride. A comparison of experimental designs and statistical interpretations; Analytica Chimica Acta. ISSN : 0003-2670, Vol-312, Page No-245-262(1995)

23. Fabre. H, Robustness testing in liquid chromatography and capillary electrophoresis, J. Pharm Biomed Anal. ISSN: 0731-7085, Vol-14, Page No-1125-1132(1996)

24. Modamio P, Lastra CF, Marino EL. Determination of analytical error function for beta-blockers as a possible weighting method for the estimation of the regression parameters. J Pharm Biomed Anal, ISSN: 0731-7085, Vol-14, Issue-4, Page No- 401-8 (1996)

25. Heyden. YV, Questier. F, Massart. DL, A ruggedness test strategy for procedure related factors: experimental set-up and interpretation; J. Pharm Biomed Anal. ISSN: 0731-7085, Vol-17, Page No- 153-168(1998)

26. Heyden. YV, Questier. F, Massart. DL. Ruggedness testing of chromatographic methods : selection of factors and levels; J. Pharm Biomed Anal. ISSN: 0731-7085 Vol-18, Page No- 43-56(1998)

27. Modamio P, Lastra CF, Mariño EL. Error structure for the HPLC analysis for atenolol, metoprolol and propranolol: a useful weighting method in parameter estimation. J Pharm Biomed Anal. ISSN: 0731-7085, Vol-17, Issue-3, Page-507-13 (1998)

28. Heyden. YV, Jimidar. M, Hund. E et al. Determination of system suitability limits with a robustness test; J. Chromatogr A. ISSN: 0021-967, Vol-845, Page V-145-154 (1999)

29. Nijhuis. A, Van Der Knaap. H.C.M, de Jong S et al. Strategy for ruggedness tests in chromatographic method validation, Analytica Chimica Acta. ISSN : 0003-2670 Vol-391, Page No- 187-202 (1999)

30. Ruby R, Mary M, John K. Full and fractionated experimental designs for robustness testing in the high-performance liquid chromatographic analysis of codeine phosphate, pseudoephedrine hydrochloride and chlorphenira mine maleate in a pharmaceutical preparation. J. Chromatogr A. ISSN: 0021-967, Vol-870, Page- 45–5 (2000)

31. Braza, AJ, Modamio, P, Marino, EL. Two reproducible and sensitive liquid chromatographic methods to quantify atenolol and propranolol in human plasma and determination of their associated analytical error functions. J Chromat. B. ISSN: 1570-0232, Vol-738, Page No- 225-31(2000)

32. Antonio J Braza, Pilar Modamio Pilar Modamio Eduardo L. Mariño Eduardo L. Mariño. J Chromat. B. Vol-738, Issue-2, Page No-225-31(2000)

33. Wood. R. Thompson. M, Ellison. SLR, Harmonized guidelines for single-laboratory validation of methods of analysis. Pure Appl. Chem. ISSN 0033-4545, Vol-74, Issue-5, Page No - 835-855(2002)

34. Vivo-Truyols G, Torres-Lapasio JR, García-Alvarez-Coque MC. Error analysis and performance of different retention models in the transference of data from/to isocratic/gradient elution. Journal of Chromatography. A, ISSN: 0021-967, Vol-1018 Issue- 2, Page No 169-181 (2003)

35. Epshtein. NA. Structure of chemical compounds, methods of analysis and process control. Validation of HPLC techniques for pharmaceutical analysis. Pharm Chem Journal. ISSN: 0091-150X, Vol-38, Issue-4, Page No- 212-228 (2004)

36. Taverniers. I, Bockstaele. E.V, Loose. M.D. Trends in quality in the analytical laboratory. Traceability and measurement uncertainty of analytical results. Trends in Anal Chem. ISSN: 0165-9936, Vol-23(7), Page No - 480-490 (2004)

37. Hubert P, Nguyen-Huu JJ, Boulanger B, Chapuzet E, Chiap P, Cohen N, Compagnon PA, Dewé W, Feinberg M, Lallier M, Laurentie M, Mercier N, Muzard G, Nivet C, Valat L. Harmonization of strategies for the validation of quantitative analytical procedures. A SFSTP proposal--Part I. . J Pharm Biomed Anal. ISSN: 0731-7085, Vol-15, 36(3) Page No -579-86 (2004)

38. Rozet. E, Wascotte. V, Lecouturier. N et al. Improvement of the decision efficiency of the accuracy profile by means of a desirability function for analytical

methods validation. Analytica Chimica Acta. ISSN : 0003-2670, Vol-591, Page No - 239–247(2007)

39. Feinberg. M .Validation of analytical methods based on accuracy profiles. J. Chromatogr A, ISSN: 0021-967, Vol-1158, Page No -174–183 (2007)

40. Voigtman. E . Limits of detection and decision. Spectrochimica Acta. ISSN: 1386-1425, Vol-63, Page v-154-165 (2008)

41. Marsin Sanagi. M, Susie Ling. L, Zalilah Nasir, et al. Comparison of signal-to-noise, blank determination, and linear regression methods for the estimation of detection and quantification limits for volatile organic compounds by gas chromatography. J.AOAC Int, ISSN 1060-3271, Vol-92(6), Page No -1833-1838(2009)

42.Staes. E, Rozet. E, Ucakar. B et al. Validation of a method for the quantitation of ghrelin and unacylated ghrelin by HPLC. J. Pharm Biomed Anal. ISSN: 0731-7085, Vol-51, Page No - 633–639 (2010)

43. Diawara. HZ, Gbaguidi. F, Evrard. B et al. Validation, transfer and measurement uncertainty estimation of an HPLC-UV method for the quantification of artemisinin in hydro alcoholic extracts of Artemisia annua L. J. Pharm Biomedical Anal. ISSN: 0731-7085, Vol-56, Page No - 7-15(2011)

44. Rozet, Eric mailto, Govaerts, B. ,Lebrun, Pierre mailto, Michail, K., Ziemons, Eric mailto, Wintersteiger, R. Rudaz, S., Boulanger, B., Hubert, Philippe mailto, Analytica Chimica Acta, ISSN :0003-2670 , Vol-705, Page No- 193-206 (2011)

45. C Agut, A Caron, C Giordano, D Hoffman, A Ségalini. Transfer of analytical procedures: a panel of strategies selected for risk management, with emphasis on an integrated equivalence-based comparative testing approach. J Pharm Biomed Anal, ISSN: 0731-7085, Vol-56, Issue-2, Page No - 293-303 (2011)

46. E. Rozet, S. Rudaz, R.D. Marini, E. Ziémons, B. Boulanger, Ph. Hubert. Models to estimate overall analytical measurements uncertainty: Assumptions, comparisons and applications. Analytica Chimica Acta. ISSN :0003-2670, Vol-702, Issue- 2, Page No 160–171(2011)

47.Rambla. AM, Esteve. RJ, Carda. BS. Is it really necessary to validate an analytical method or not? That is the question. J Chromatogr A. ISSN: 0021-967, Vol-1232, Page- No 101-9 (2012)

48. Rozet E, Marini RD, Ziemons E, Boulanger B, Hubert P. Advances in validation, risk and uncertainty assessment of bioanalytical methods. J Pharm Biomed Anal. ISSN: 0731-7085, Vol-25, Issue-55(4), Page No-848-58 (2011)